

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,494	06/07/2004	Gerrit Jan Barend Assink	TS 0715 USA P	1658
75	590 12/23/2004		EXAM	INER
Jennifer D. Adamson			LANGEL, WAYNE A	
Shell Oil Comp			ARTIRUT	DARED MENUED
Legal - Intellectual Property			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 2463			1754	
Houston, TX	77252-2463			

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		( )			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/600,494	ASSINK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Wayne Langel	1754			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ Thi  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final.  ance except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 9-34 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 9-34 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acre Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list.</li> </ul>	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6-7-04.</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F  6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/600,494

Art Unit: 1754

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over EP 0303438. EP 0303438 discloses a process for the catalytic partial oxidation of a hydrocarbonaceous feedstock, and teaches at col. 7, lines 13-45 that the catalyst may comprise a mixture of rhodium with platinum, iridium or osmium. The catalyst in the process of EP 0303438 would be in the form of layers with substantially no gap therebetween, since the reference discloses at col. 7, lines 21-45 that catalysts discs 54 may comprise thin sheets or dense wire mesh. The thin sheets would constitute "layers", and the dense wire mesh could arbitrarily be considered to constitute "layers". In any event, EP 0303438 suggests at col. 7, lines 21-23 that the catalyst may consist of any "structural packing material". It would be obvious from such disclosure to employ the structural packing material in the form of layers.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent

Application/Control Number: 10/600,494

Art Unit: 1754

and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 9-34 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim1-12 of copending Application No. 10/450,602 in view of either EP 0303438 or De Jong et al '901. It would be obvious from either EP 0303438 or De Jong et al "901 to employ a mixture of rhodium and platinum, iridium or osmium as the "upstream" and "downstream" parts of the catalyst system of S.N. 10/450,602, since EP 0303438 and De Jong et al '901 both disclose that such mixtures may be employed as catalysts for the partial oxidation of hydrocarbons. (See col. 7, lines 4-45 of EP 0303438, and col. 1, lines 38-57 of De Jong et al '901.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Fujitani et al is made of record for disclosing the use of rhodium as a catalyst for the partial oxidation of hydrocarbons.

The other references are made of record for disclosing rhodium, platinum, osmium and iridium as catalysts for the partial oxidation of hydrocarbons.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Wayne Langel at telephone number 571-272-1353.

Application/Control Number: 10/600,494

Art Unit: 1754

Page 4

Wayne Langel
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1754